

## LOC Questions and Clarifications Memorandum

---

**To:** Solicited Vendors for Letter of Configuration (LOC) Number 36841, dated May 14, 2008, for Jackson State University (JSU)

**From:** David L. Litchliter 

**Date:** May 27, 2008

**Subject:** Responses to Questions Submitted and Clarifications to Specifications

**Contact Name:** Chris Nix

**Contact Phone Number:** 601-359-1641

**Contact E-mail Address:** Chris.Nix@its.state.ms.us

---

The following questions were submitted to ITS and are being presented as they were submitted, except to remove any reference to a specific vendor. This information should assist you in formulating your response.

**Question 1:** Must the proposed system be Alcatel, or will another equivalent system be accepted?

**Response:** The equipment must be Alcatel. This is an expansion of their existing Campus-wide Alcatel system.

**Question 2:** Alcatel Telephone Systems are not purchased simply by ordering parts. The systems are configured using a configuration tool (ACTIS). For existing system installations like Jackson State University, the configuration requires the current MAO/OPS files from their system to add a location like the Engineering School. Will JSU be supplying the files?

**Response:** The files are attached in .zip format.

**Question 3:** JSU is currently running version 6.1 of the OmniPCX Enterprise system, with plans to upgrade to version 8.0. Will this upgrade be completed prior to the installation? Under version 6.1, the requested INTOF boards and Cost-MO cards have discontinued by Alcatel and are no longer available. There is a new INTOF2 board that is available for version 8.0. I am checking with Alcatel personnel about their new version 8.0 files.

**Response:** JSU's current system will be upgraded to 8.0 on June 15, 2008.

**Question 4:** Current lead times for Alcatel phone systems are 6-8 weeks after submission of orders. Even if this order gets expedited, I do not see how the June 25 delivery date can be met.

**Response:** If the vendor does not feel that he can meet the delivery date, he should take exception to that portion of the LOC. Vendor must include the expected delivery date.

Please refer to section 8.2 of the LOC: "Vendor must specify the delivery interval proposed by the Vendor's company, particularly if Vendor cannot meet the June 25, 2008, delivery date specified in Item 3."

LOC responses are due May 29, 2008, at 3:00 p.m. (Central Time).

If you have any questions concerning the information above or if we can be of further assistance, please contact Chris Nix at 601-359-1641 or via email at [Chris.Nix@its.state.ms.us](mailto:Chris.Nix@its.state.ms.us).

cc: File 36841